## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA CASE NO. 25-60128-CR-DIMITROULEAS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

٧.

DE'ANDRE GRIER,

Defendant.

## **DETENTION ORDER**

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), on June 6, 2025, the undersigned held a hearing to determine whether the Defendant De'Andre Grier should be detained prior to trial. At the conclusion of the hearing, the undersigned orally ordered Defendant detained. Having considered the factors enumerated in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g), this Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the Defendant's appearance at trial or the safety of the community. Therefore, it is hereby ordered that Defendant De'Andre Grier be detained prior to trial and until the conclusion thereof.

In accordance with the provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), this Court hereby makes the following findings of fact and statement of reasons for the detention:

1. **18 U.S.C.** § **3142(g)(1)** -- **Nature and Circumstances of the Offense.**Defendant was charged by way of indictment with conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute 28 grams or more of cocaine base/crack cocaine and one substantive count of

distribution of crack cocaine. The conspiracy count carries a maximum penalty of 40 years imprisonment with a mandatory minimum of five years' imprisonment. <sup>1</sup> The substantive count carries a maximum of 20 years with no minimum. A rebuttable presumption arises based upon a probable cause finding that a defendant committed an offense under Title 21 with a maximum penalty of ten years or more. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3)(A). Based upon the return of an indictment by the grand jury, *United States v. Hurtado*, 779 F.2d 1467, 1479 (11th Cir. 1985), as well as the proffer and evidence presented at the hearing, this Court finds that the government has established probable cause in this case, therefore the presumption applies. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) (1).

2. **18 U.S.C.** § **3142(g) (2)** -- **Weight of the Evidence**. The weight of the evidence against this Defendant is sufficient to establish probable cause. At the hearing, the Government proceeded by way of proffer, with FBI Special Agent Chelsea Hibsch then made available for cross-examination. The evidence shows that Defendant and other coconspirators are allegedly members of Zone 10, a violent street gang responsible for drug distribution, identity theft and fraud, and acts of violence, including murders. According to the Broward Sheriff's Office, Grier is a documented member of Zone 10. The gang allegedly operated multiple "trap houses," including the house at issue here. Evidence shows that on April 2, 2025, Grier participated in the sale of 7.6 grams of crack cocaine to a confidential human source (CHS), who was equipped with recording equipment. Although the video played in court was not conclusive regarding Grier's

<sup>1</sup> The Penalty Sheet for Mr. Grier lists the imprisonment range as 5 years – life. This appears to be an error.

participation, the video coupled with the identification testimony of the agent and proffered testimony of the CHS are sufficient to show at least probable cause. On May 12, 2025, law enforcement executed a search warrant on the house and recovered approximately 50 grams of crack cocaine, 120 grams of marijuana, a Taurus pistol, and about \$3,000 in U.S. currency. Law enforcement is in the process of analyzing DNA to determine whether any of the coconspirators can be linked to the firearm. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)(2).

3. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)(3) -- History and Characteristics of the **Defendant.** De'Andre Grier was born in Hollywood, Florida, in 1999. He is a lifelong resident of South Florida. He currently resides in Hollywood with his parents and two siblings. Grier advised that his mother is a manager at McDonald's, but the Pretrial Services Report states that his mother informed Pretrial that she is unemployed. His father owns a plumbing business. Defendant has five siblings, all of whom live in South Florida. He is in a relationship with Roi Windom. Defendant has no children. He is currently enrolled in an online high school program. He is employed as a plumber's assistant at his father's company. He reports to be in good physical health. He reports a history of post-traumatic stress disorder, anxiety, depression and ADHD, but does not presently suffer from any symptoms. He reports occasional use of cannabis (he has a medical marijuana card) and Percocet. He is currently receiving drug treatment in jail. Defendant's criminal history dates to the age of 15. He has adult convictions for possession of Alprazolam and cannabis, carrying a concealed firearm, and fleeing and eluding. He was on state probation at the time of his arrest in this case, and a VOP

warrant is forthcoming. He has multiple capias warrants for failure to appear. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)(3)(A) and (B).

- 4. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)(4) -- Danger to any Person or the Community. Based on the statutory presumption and the proffered evidence, which showed Defendant delivered crack cocaine to a CHS, coupled with his criminal record including a pending probation violation, and credible evidence that he is affiliated with a violent gang, the undersigned finds that Defendant poses a danger to the community. Based upon the statutory presumption and the proffered evidence, the lengthy sentence he is facing, and his previous capias warrants and pending probation revocation, the undersigned also finds that Defendant poses a risk of flight. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)(4).
- 5. Based upon the above findings of fact, which were supported by the appropriate evidentiary standards (clear and convincing for danger to the community; preponderance for risk of flight), the undersigned specifically finds that there are no conditions or combination of conditions of release that reasonably will assure Defendant's appearance at trial or the safety of the community. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e).

The Court hereby directs:

- (a) That the Defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practical, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal;
- (b) That the Defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and

(c) That, on order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the Defendant is confined deliver the Defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DONE AND ORDERED at Fort Lauderdale, Florida, this 16th day of June,

2025.

Copies to: All counsel of record

PATRICK M. HUNT

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

12 milit